UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,258	05/11/2006	Wolf-Dieter Wichmann	5000.P0098US	6585
	7590 04/16/200 L BOUTELL & TANIS	EXAMINER		
2026 RAMBLING ROAD			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/579,258	WICHMANN, WOLF-DIETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Trevor E. McGraw	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 M     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or papers.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	2 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/11/06;8/21/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "26" has been used to designate both connection piece and hydraulic connection (specification must be amended accordingly; see page 17). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,258 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 4 of the specification must be changed. Line 2 of Page 4 should read as "metered in" in lieu of "metered in in". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4 of Claim 4, the word "in" should be deleted so that line 4 reads as "to be metered in control" in lieu of "to be metered in in control". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3752

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chaplinsky (US 5,135,174).

In regard to Claim 1, Chaplinsky teaches a sprayer for spraying agricultural liquids (apparatus system shown in Figure 1) with a carrier liquid tank (1), a carrier liquid pump (5), several spraying nozzles (21, 22, 23) and associated nozzle holders (22", "23" and "24" are held in "P") for connecting the spraying nozzles to a carrier liquid line (9,13), at least one active ingredient tank (2,3,4) and several metering pumps (6,7,8) for delivering active ingredients connectable to the active ingredient tank (2,3,4) characterized in that with each nozzle (22,23,24) holder ("22", "23" and "24" are held in "P") is associated with at least one metering pump (6,7,8) which is in flow connection with the nozzle holder (22", "23" and "24" are held in "P").

In regard to Claims 4 -6, Chaplinsky also teaches where a control unit (32,33,34) is provided to calculate the active ingredient quantity to be metered in control pulses, the metering pumps (5,6,7,8) have a clearly defined delivery for each working stroke and can be driven to corresponding control pulses. The control unit (32,33,34) also has a number of control pulses as a function of a predetermined set value for an active substance concentration and a carrier liquid quantity instantaneously delivered by the carrier liquid pump (see column 3, line 31 thru column 4, line 21) and the metering pumps 6,7,8) can be driven in hydraulic pulses (pulses of content from tanks "2", "3" and "4").

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaplinsky (US 5,135,174) in view of Knight (US 3,716,191).

In regard to Claims 7-11, Chaplinsky teaches where the nozzle holders and the metering pumps are connected in series to the active ingredient line and are arranges in several partial widths and the partial widths are associated with active ingredient supply line and the sprayer has a mixing chamber and at least one metering pump.

Chaplinsky substantially teaches the present invention with the exception of the active ingredient supply line being provided with a compressed air connection so that during return operation active ingredient can be forced back into the active ingredient tank. However, Knight teaches that it is known to have an active supply line being provided with a compressed air connection so that during a return operation, an active ingredient or substance (liquid paint in the case of Knight) can be forced back into the active ingredient tank with the aid of compressed air. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the present invention was made to provide the active ingredient line of the spraying system taught by Chaplinsky with the

compressed air line of Knight in order to provide a manner in which fluid can be conserved and accurate spraying can occur.

The combination of Chaplinsky in view of Knight would permit the compressed air connection to be provided downstream of the final metering pump in the active ingredient supply direction and the partial width of the active ingredient supply line with one compressed air connection (limitations of claims 8 and 9).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gill (US 4,925,096).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571) 272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,258 Page 7

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/T. E. M./
Examiner, Art Unit 3752
/Len Tran/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752